



Research Article

The Treaty of Versailles: Catalyst for Change and Conflict in the 20th Century

Said Ahmad Najib Mahmood

Associate Professor, Department of International Relations, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences, Kabul University, Afghanistan



ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT



Keywords:

Versailles Conference, League of Nations, World War I, peace, Allies, The Bonn conference, Security

Article History:

Received: 10-02-2024

Accepted: 25-06-2024

Published: 29-06-2024

Cite this article:

Mahmood, S. A. N. (2024). The Treaty of Versailles: Catalyst for Change and Conflict in the 20th Century. *Sprin Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(6), 28–34. <https://doi.org/10.55559/sjahss.v3i6.364>

The Treaty of Versailles, signed in 1919 in the aftermath of World War I, had a profound impact on the geopolitical configuration of the world. This paper analyzes the complexity of the Treaty of Versailles and its enduring impact on the countries that signed it and future generations. As a consequence of Germany's involvement in the war, the pact imposed stringent military restrictions, economic sanctions, and territorial losses on the country. Germany saw a period of political turmoil, social unrest, and economic instability in the aftermath of the signing of the Treaty of Versailles. In the end, these conditions facilitated the development of extremist ideologies like as Nazism and played a role in the onset of World War II. The treaty's redrawing of European borders had enduring ramifications, such as lingering territorial disputes and escalating ethnic tensions, which ultimately culminated in hostilities in the subsequent decades. Moreover, the Treaty of Versailles had a profound impact on the global geopolitical landscape since it disrupted the existing equilibrium of power and diminished the efficacy of the League of Nations. The harsh requirements of the treaty caused social unrest and economic pain in Germany, leading to feelings of unfairness and humiliation that had a lasting impact on the country's destiny. The objective of this essay is to offer a thorough and detailed analysis of the Treaty of Versailles, highlighting its complexity and long-lasting impact on global diplomacy. The Treaty of Versailles ultimately underscores the importance of attaining enduring peace via cooperation and reconciliation, while also serving as a cautionary tale about the unintended consequences of punitive measures in international affairs. Understanding the significance of this agreement is crucial for understanding the complexities of the aftermath of World War I and the lasting effects of unresolved issues that continue to impact world politics today.

Introduction

The Treaty of Versailles, ratified on June 28, 1919, marked the conclusion of World War I and held significant significance as a crucial peace accord. The Treaty was the outcome of protracted discussions between the central powers, specifically Germany, and the Allied and Associated Powers, which encompassed the United States, Great Britain, France, Italy, and other nations. The purpose of the pact was to address the consequences of the war, establish the conditions for peace, and establish a new global order for Europe and the rest of the world. Nevertheless, the conditions of the treaty and the coercive measures imposed on Germany sparked controversy and had a significant impact that played a defining role in shaping the entirety of the 20th century. (Olomi, 1970, p. 156).

The Treaty of Versailles contained extensive provisions pertaining to the League of Nations, military restrictions, territorial modifications, and war indemnities. The harsh penalties imposed on Germany, which were often perceived as a kind of payback for the devastation the war had caused, were among its most prominent aspects. Germany lost a great deal of territory, particularly in the Saar Basin (which was granted to the League of Nations) and Alsace-Lorraine (which was

returned to France) (Olomi, 1970). Germany was not permitted to maintain a substantial military force, and its air force, navy, and arsenal were all constrained. The goal of these military and geographic restrictions was to decrease Germany's perceived threat to European security and its likelihood of engaging in combat in the future. (Perrin, 1978, p. 4).

In addition, Germany had to pay large sums of money as war reparations under the terms of the Treaty of Versailles. The precise number of damages required was not specified in the agreement; that would be addressed later. Apart from the punitive character of the treaty's provisions, this sentence compounded Germany's economic suffering and discontent and increased the country's perception of dehumanization and disdain among its citizens.

One of the treaty's unique aspects was the establishment of the League of Nations, an international body entrusted with preserving peace and security. Though a major step toward international cooperation and diplomacy, the League of Nations faced real-world obstacles, including the United States' exclusion from the organization and its limited ability to prevent future conflicts—as evidenced by its inability to stop the outbreak of World War II. (Potemkin, 1953, p. 25).

*Corresponding Author:

Email: najibmahmood19@gmail.com (S. A. N. Mahmood)

<https://doi.org/10.55559/sjahss.v3i6.364>

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Sprin Publisher, India. This is an open access article published under the CC-BY license

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

The Treaty of Versailles generated a great deal of debate and discussion during the time it was signed and in the years that followed. Numerous academics and politicians have maintained that the punitive actions taken against Germany paved the way for later conflicts and served to strengthen extreme ideologies like Nazism. Others blame perceived inequalities in the pact and Germany's economic difficulty for the social and political turmoil that followed, which included the ascent to power of Adolf Hitler and the eventual start of World War II. In the end, the Treaty of Versailles, which put an end to World War I and established the international order of the 20th century, signaled a turning point in modern history. Its contents—especially the ones directed at Germany—had a significant and long-lasting effect, provoking thought and discussion about peace treaties, international relations, and averting future hostilities. The Treaty of Versailles' legacy is still studied historically and is significant when talking about diplomacy, peace, and applying lessons learned in the past to guide current activities in the pursuit of a more peaceful future (Potemkin, 1953, p. 25).

Wilson's Vision for Peace

When the German delegation, under the leadership of Matthias Erzberger, signed the terms of the truce in November 1918, World War I came to an end. During the latter months of the war, US President Wilson coerced the Allies to adopt his 14 proposed articles as the foundation for talks. In his articles, Wilson outlined 14 points: redrawing Italy's borders; acknowledging the principle of autonomy, especially for Austrian citizens; liberating the Balkans from the Alliance Powers and allowing Serbia access to the Adriatic Sea; granting internal independence to nationalities other than Turkish; leaving the strait open to all ships; establishing diplomatic relations; eliminating economic barriers; resolving and adjusting colonial claims regarding neutrality; evacuation of Russia; evacuation of Belgium and restoration of its independence; reduce (Moradi, 1989, p. 33).

Wilson foresaw significant differences on a number of crucial issues with America, France, England, and Italy. For example, England opposed maritime independence, while France contended that Germany should bear the cost of the damage the war had caused. Because England and Germany's competition at sea was largely responsible for their conflicts prior to the Great War. Therefore, the most important thing to do at this time was to create an international organization that could handle problems by having talks and debates. Wilson thus authorized Clemenceau to impose harsh sanctions on Germany. (Moradi, 1989, p. 35).

In an effort to weaken Germany, Clemenceau crammed as many futurists as possible into the Treaty of Versailles. But in the end, no ally could be convinced to implement the deal because it was so unfair. Security against Germany was the top concern for France throughout the peace negotiations. In this case, the French were taking it so seriously that there was no need for discussion. because France believed that the First World War had principally caused devastation to its region. Therefore, France proposed that part of German land to the west of the Rhine be made into an autonomous republic under Allied rule. Wilson and Lloyd George disagreed with this notion. They continued by predicting that this move will increase anti-German sentiment in Germany and perhaps lead to fresh hostilities. France was granted its request but stipulated that in the event that Germany attacked again, American and English forces would defend French territory. This French proposal was accepted in the Paris Conference (Bozorgmehri, 2005, p. 262).

In the winter of 1919, representatives from 27 nations convened in Paris with the aim of transforming the global

landscape. The delegates of the four main governments—Lloyd George of England, Clemenceau of France, Orlando of Italy, and Wilson of America—made significant decisions. In 1919 the Allies concluded five accords. Shore with Turkey 1920, Novi with Bulgaria, San German with Austria, and Versailles with Germany are the suburbs of Paris. (Bagheri, 2013; p. 181).

The United States of America, England, France, Italy, Japan, and two representatives from each of the five great countries made comprised the Supreme Council of the Versailles Peace Conference, which presided over the conference's inaugural meeting. A four-member council made up of representatives from France, America, England, and Italy was established to handle conference work planning after the ten-member council failed to reach a consensus on its format on March 24 (Bagheri, 2013; p. 181).

All the participating countries were split into four groups: the first group comprised the countries whose interests were at stake; these were Japan, England, France, Italy, and the United States of America; the other hostile countries comprised the second group and included, among others, Greece, Poland, China, Panama, Cuba, Belgium, Brazil, England, and India; these governments attended the sessions when the topic was relevant to them; the third group was made up of the governments that severed diplomatic ties with Germany during the conflict; these governments, along with the representatives of Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia, and Uruguay, participated in a limited number of sessions; the fourth group consisted of newly independent governments and neutral countries. (Bozorgmehri, 2005, p. 262).

The partition of German land was the primary goal of the treaty. Alsace and Lorraine were returned to France. Belgium gained access to the sea through the Danzig Corridor with the Eupen-Malamedy, while Poland gained access with Pezzenati. Danzig was handed over to the League of Nations, and Poland was assigned command of its foreign affairs and customs. Up until a plebiscite in 1921, the fate of Schleswig and Upper Silesia remained undetermined. In that referendum, 40% of voters opted to join Poland and 60% of voters preferred to join Germany. Schleswig joined Denmark and Silesia as a result. Poland only joined one part of the League of Nations, while Germany joined the remaining portion (Qaisher, 1985, p. 482).

Czechoslovakia received Holstein, while Lithuania received the port of Memel and its banks. There was no way that Austria and Germany could unite. The Rhineland was demilitarized, and Saar was brought under League of Nations jurisdiction. Germany also lost all of its colonies. England received North and Southwest Africa, Japan received the Pacific Islands (Pacific Ocean), and France received Cameroon and a portion of Togo. It was agreed that these colonies would be overseen by a small group of seasoned powers appointed by the League of Nations, in accordance with Article 22 of the League of Nations Charter. These powers would also lay the groundwork for the colonies' eventual independence. Three groupings were formed out of the previous colonies: Although they had made some headway, the first group still need direction. The nations that were able to govern themselves after emerging from the Ottoman Empire made up this group. The administration of the second category required the participation of industrialized nations. One could argue that the third category consists of a fraction of the guardian nation's territory. To ensure France's security, the German army was restricted to 100,000 soldiers, its fleet to six warships, and the use of heavy artillery, submarines, aircraft, and lethal gas manufacturing was outlawed. German rivers also gained international recognition. (Bagheri, 2013; p. 179).

Germany was required by Article 231 of the Paris Conference to reimburse the Allies and the nations that

supported them for any losses and damages brought about by the war. In addition, Germany had to reimburse the Allies for whatever damages they had caused them, which included providing vast amounts of raw materials, coal, livestock, chemicals, and other goods. Ultimately, Germany's economy could not support the 27 billion dollars in compensation that the country was forced to pay (Bagheri, 2013: p. 182). The area west of the Rhine and the bridges at Cologne, Koblenz, and Mainz were to be occupied by the Allies for fifteen years. As long as Germany followed the terms of the truce, the territory was to be evacuated three times, every five years. The economic losses incurred by Germany as a result of the Treaty of Versailles included one-eighth of the nation's land area, one-tenth of its population, two-thirds of its iron mines, half of its coal mines, half of its lead mines, and almost all of its potash mines. A tenth of the nation's income, a sixth of its agricultural output, and a tenth of its industrial factories came from nearly all of its foreign investments. (Littlefield, 2006, p. 226).

Italy's decision to join the war against Germany was motivated by the provisions outlined in the London Treaty of 1915, which stated that success would result in the Allies acquiring additional colonies in Italy. If the Allies emerged victorious in the war, Italy would be granted the territories of Trentino South Tyrol, Istria, the city of Trieste, and a few of Dalmatian islands. Furthermore, in the event of the partition of Ottoman Turkey, Italy will acquire Antioch located in Asia Minor (Qaisher, 1985, p. 492).

Italy vehemently desired to incorporate all of these regions into its own territory during the Paris Conference. Prime Minister Orland and Italian Foreign Minister Sonnino would not have been willing to sign the Treaty of Versailles if these regions had not been included into their own countries. President Wilson of the United States refused to accept Italy's expectations, believing himself to be in no way bound by the Allies' agreements. Representatives from Italy were incensed by this, and as a result, Italy sided with Nazi Germany during World War II (Milza, 2012, p. 20).

Agreements of the suburbs of Paris

The peace accords between the victorious Allied Powers of World War I and the vanquished Central Powers (Germany, Austria-Hungary, Bulgaria, and Turkey) are sometimes referred to as the "Paris Suburbs Agreements." The winning nations unilaterally drafted treaties after the 1919 Paris Peace Conference. The delegates of the vanquished nations were required to sign them. The official end of the First World War was brought about by these accords. Germany bores much of the blame for the First World War, as we have covered in length; nevertheless, Germany's allies also bore some of the blame, as we shall address in this section. (Milza, 2012, p. 24).

Treaty of Saint

The agreement was signed on September 10, 1919. It was one of the outcomes resulting from the Paris Peace Conference following World War I. This event signified the dissolution of the empire and the establishment of new borders and regulations for the Austrian Republic. This treaty had a significant impact on the geopolitical situation of Central Europe, as well as the political, economic, and social development of Austria. (Sadeghi, 1997, p. 23).

This pact determined that the Republic of Austria would be willing to provide war reparations and take on a portion of the blame for starting the conflict; Germany and Austria cannot form an alliance; Austria recognizes the independence of Hungary, Czechoslovakia, Poland, and Yugoslavia. The Austrian army should be whittled down to thirty thousand soldiers. The Austrian navy will be restricted to the police ship in the Danube Sea. The pact included a significant geographical adjustment

that involved Austria's shrinkage. The purpose of the new borders was to lessen Austrian influence and stop any potential threat to the stability of the region. (Sadeghi, 1997, p. 23).

In particular, the annexation of some regions to neighboring countries was covered by this convention. For example, South Tyrol was ceded to Italy, Galicia to Poland, and Bohemia and Moravia were added to the newly created Czechoslovakia. The Saint-Germain Pact had a big impact on the economy. Once a major economic force in the Austro-Hungarian Empire, Austria found itself economically alone and vulnerable. The deal limited Austria's military power and ordered the destruction of the majority of its industrial infrastructure. Austria's financial issues worsened as a result of having to pay significant war reparations. The newly established Austrian Republic faced a number of internal problems, including social discontent and political instability. The loss of significant land and economic resources worsened the issues and encouraged an atmosphere of political extremism. (Hughes, 1982, p. 108).

Neuilly Treaty

Bulgaria signed this convention November 27, 1919. According to this deal, Bulgaria will be subject to military constraints, culminating in the reduction of its army to 20,000 men, Romania will be required to occupy Debruja, Yugoslavia will be awarded the bulk of Macedonia, Greece will be given sovereignty over the western terrace and the Aegean Sea coast. But Bulgaria had to pay back the Allies for what it had lost during the war. The goal of these reparations was to offset the costs and losses incurred by the Allies during the war (Bagheri, 2013, p. 180). The Neuilly Treaty also had repercussions beyond Bulgaria, as it contributed to the broader instability in the Balkans during the interwar period. The redrawing of borders and the unresolved ethnic and territorial tensions set the stage for future conflicts and diplomatic disputes in Southeastern Europe (Bagheri, 2013, p. 181).

In summary, the Neuilly Treaty had a significant impact on Bulgaria and the Balkan region's overall geopolitical environment. Due to the treaty's heavy territorial, financial, and military costs, Bulgaria experienced economic suffering, humiliation as a nation, and strategic vulnerabilities. In addition, the treaty made unsolved territorial and ethnic conflicts worse, which increased instability in the area during the interwar years.

All things considered, the Neuilly Treaty is a noteworthy and contentious episode in the history of Southeast Europe, influencing Bulgaria's post-war destiny and adding to the region's ongoing difficulties and complexity. (Black, 1988: p. 78).

Treaty of Trianon

Hungary, the Allies, and the Associated Powers signed the accord of Trianon on June 4, 1920, as their post-World War I peace accord. Over time, the treaty's provisions altered Hungary's borders, economy, and sense of identity. The Treaty of Trianon, which is regarded as a watershed in Hungarian history, has a lasting impact on the country and its surroundings. There were significant territorial implications to the Treaty of Trianon. A large portion of Hungary's former Kingdom was among the approximately 72% of its pre-war territory that was lost. The lost area was shared among the surrounding countries, with the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes (Yugoslavia) obtaining the greatest territory, followed by Romania and Czechoslovakia. Relinquishing these lands meant millions of Hungarians were forcibly uprooted and national and ethnic boundaries had to be redrawn. Significant Hungarian populations were also lost. Additionally, Hungary lost access to essential natural resources and transit lines. (Naqibzadeh, 2006, p. 182).

Significant military limits were also placed on Hungary by the pact. The Hungarian armed forces were severely constrained in size and had limited capacity to sustain an aviation force. Hungary's already fragile economy was further burdened by the treaty's financial responsibilities, which required it to give war indemnities and reparations to the Allied Powers (Naqibzadeh, 2006, p. 183).

The Treaty of Trianon had far-reaching effects and a significant influence on Hungary. Along with serious difficulties in managing the displaced communities and addressing the economic and social ramifications of boundary changes, the loss of territory and minority populations sparked feelings of national grievance and injustice. The pact also had political repercussions, escalating tensions between various political groupings and bringing Hungary into a period of instability and conflict (Perra, 2019, pp. 267-271).

Further ramifications for Central and Eastern Europe's geopolitical environment came from the Treaty of Trianon. The region's long-lasting ethnic and national tensions were exacerbated by border redrawing and population displacement, which also created the conditions for wars and diplomatic disputes throughout the interwar years. Hungary's geopolitical position was severely undermined by the pact, which also reduced Hungary's size, resources, and regional importance (Hughes, 1982, p.108).

Furthermore, the consequences of the Treaty of Trianon contributed to the shaping of Hungarian national identity and collective memory. The treaty became a symbol of national tragedy and loss, influencing Hungarian political discourse, cultural representations, and historical narratives (Littlefield, 2006, p.227). The enduring impact of the treaty on the Hungarian national consciousness underscores its significance in shaping the country's historical trajectory and self-perception.

All things considered, Hungary and the surrounding region suffered grave and protracted consequences as a result of the Treaty of Trianon. The financial, military, and territorial provisions of the treaty changed Hungary's borders, economy, and national identity, adding to the long-standing challenges and complexity of the country's history. Because of the treaty's enduring impact on politics, society, and cultural memory, it marked a turning point in Hungarian history (Sugar, 2017, pp. 7-14).

Treaty of Sevres

This treaty was signed in 1920 by the Allies and the Ottoman Turkish government. The goal of the Allied Powers' response against Ottoman Turkey was to divide this empire into large parts. The treaty decided that Syria would be governed by France, Palestine, Jordan, and Mesopotamia (Iraq); Silesia, a part of Turkey, would be governed by France and Italy; Greece would be given Terrass, Edirne, Gallipoli, Imbros Islands, Tendos, and Dodecanese Islands; and the Dardanelle Strait would become an international bridge. Although the Ottoman Sultan signed this agreement, Mustafa Kemal Atatürk's National Assembly refused to ratify it (Begdali, 2006: p. 39).

The Ottoman Empire was subject to considerable military, economic, and territory responsibilities as a result of the Treaty of Sevres. Large swaths of the Ottoman lands were lost as a result of the treaty, and these regions were to be given over to numerous colonial powers and neighboring nations. Possible partitions of Anatolia, the establishment of an independent Armenian state, and the apportionment of regions to Greece and Italy were among the significant geographical losses. The pact also sought to establish a number of mandates overseen by the Allied powers in the former Ottoman territory (Littlefield, 2006: p.228).

Along with demilitarizing key areas and mandating the Ottoman Empire to scale back its armed forces, the treaty also placed military constraints. The Ottoman Empire also had to bear financial and economic costs as a result of the Treaty of Sevres, which required it to make concessions to the Allies and pay reparations. The Treaty of Sevres had far-reaching effects on both the Ottoman Empire and the Republic of Turkey. In addition to population displacement and boundary redrawing, the territorial provisions and the dissolution of the Ottoman lands also contributed to the formation of unresolved ethnic and national issues in the region. The deal endangered Turkey's territorial integrity and sovereignty, which inflamed the people's sense of injustice and national grievance. (Shaw, 1977, p. 16).

The Treaty of Sevres also had a big impact on the geopolitical landscape of the Middle East. The region's future was shaped by border redrawing and mandate imposition, which fueled ongoing ethnic, religious, and national disputes. The treaty's encouragement of the rise of Turkish nationalism and the resistance movement led by Mustafa Kemal Atatürk led to the Turkish War of Independence and the reworking of its stipulations. (Shaw, 1977, p. 17).

After the Turkish War of Independence, the Treaty of Lausanne (1923) ultimately replaced the Treaty of Sevres. Many of the terms of the Treaty of Sevres were declared void by the new agreement, which was negotiated by the Republic of Turkey and the Allied powers. It also acknowledged the Republic of Turkey's sovereignty and territorial integrity. The Ottoman Empire came to an end and the modern Turkish state began with the Treaty of Lausanne, which also redrawn Turkey's borders and created a new framework for regional foreign relations. (Mango, 2000: p. 74).

In conclusion, the geopolitical landscape of the Middle East as a whole as well as the Ottoman Empire were significantly and permanently impacted by the Treaty of Sevres. The terms of the treaty altered the history of the area and contributed to the establishment of the modern Republic of Turkey. They also prepared the way for the Turkish War of Independence and the Lausanne Treaty's renegotiation of its conditions. However, unsatisfied with the concessions given to England and Greece under the provisions of the Treaty of Sevres, Italy and France negotiated a separate treaty with Turkey after the Turkish army destroyed Armenia and drove the Italians and French out of Anatolia and Silesia (Mango, 2000). In their conflict with Turkey, England backed the Greeks (1921–1922). After the Turkish army won this battle, the Greeks were forced to leave, and Sultan Muhammad VI was deposed. By this treaty, Turkey gained control over the Eastern Terrace, Adalia, Izmir, Gallipoli, Anatolia, and Armenia. Turkey was saved from having to pay war reparations or reduce its armed forces thanks to this agreement. This agreement allowed Turkey to reclaim its independence.

Legacy of Versailles 1919: Reshaping Nations and International Relations

i. Impact of Versailles Conference on Germany

The 1919 Versailles Conference stands as one of the pivotal occasions following World War I. There were numerous repercussions from the Versailles summit. These repercussions included a wide range of topics, including adjustments to territory, limitations on military action, financial compensation, and the impact on regional and German domestic politics. The redrawing of the Middle East and European maps was one of the Versailles conference's most significant outcomes. The defeated Central Powers were forced to make territorial concessions by the pact, with Germany having the most sway. (Marks, 2009: pp. 626-649).

After the Franco-Prussian War of 1870–1871, which saw the region achieve independence from Germany, Alsace–Lorraine was returned to France. The League of Nations oversaw the administration of the Saar region, and France was tasked with managing its coal reserves. When Danzig was established as a free city, it was governed by the League of Nations. Poland was granted a portion of Posen, the Baltic Sea, and West Prussia. Following their secession from Germany, Eupen and Malmedy were acquired by Belgium (Fey, 2000). The Allied Powers received Germany's colonial assets in compliance with League of Nations regulations. Germany wanted to reduce its attractiveness to other European nations in terms of their strategic national interests, thus it changed its borders. The German army was limited to 100,000 soldiers and prohibited from enlisting new members. Preventing the formation of a sizable and hostile military force was the aim. To establish a buffer zone between Germany and its neighbors, some parts of the Rhine were demilitarized (Lederer, 1950). Germany was prohibited from obtaining any military equipment, including tanks, submarines, and aircraft. Germany was subject to military limitations in order to keep it from endangering the security of Europe after the war.

Germany was compelled to pay reparations to the Allied Powers to make up for the losses sustained during the war. Following the exact amount's discovery, Germany encountered financial challenges. Early in the 1920s, hyperinflation had a severe detrimental effect on the German economy and the value of the German mark. There were two causes behind Germany's inflation. First, the German government was forced to produce more bank notes due to the imposition of harsh compensation, which increased the price of goods and, to a lesser extent, wages. Second, another factor that made Germany's inflation worse was the Ruhr catastrophe. (Sadeghi, 1997, p. 32).

Though the German people's rights and obligations at the time were based on liberalism and the defense of individual freedoms, the Weimar Republic was established under trying conditions. The moderate social democrats, however, saw two significant barriers: the socialist revolution in Russia and the emergence of pro-Bolshevik feeling in Germany. At the period, royalists, army officers, large landowners (Junkers), and businesses saw the social democrats as a threat similar to Bolshevism, while German communists saw them as criminals, reactionary, and giving up on the working-class movement (Hakimi, 2006). Following the signing of the Treaty of Versailles, Germany was engulfed in internal unrest. The republic that had approved the Treaty of Versailles as well as the peace treaty was rejected by the conservatives. Hitler aimed to fundamentally alter German conditions, particularly following the devaluation of the German mark and the French occupation of the Ruhr region. Hitler supported anti-democratic and nationalist views and ideologies (Shirer, 1988, p. 106).

ii. *Impact of Versailles Conference on Europe*

The League of Nations was established as a significant political body after the war to encourage international cooperation and conflict resolution, despite its lack of effectiveness. The United States, one of the main supporters of the League's founding, decided not to join, which reduced the League's impact on the international scene. The union's organizational structure and decision-making processes were constrained by the interests of the Great Powers, especially France and Britain. The UN's incapacity to halt the aggressive actions of countries such as Japan in Manchuria and Italy in Ethiopia has tarnished the organization's reputation. This group failed to avert World War II even with the Soviet Union's 1934 entry and Germany's 1926 entry (Naqibzadeh, 2006). The Treaty of Versailles and its predecessors drew the boundaries of the Middle East, preserving its isolation from Europe. The Ottoman

Empire, one of the Alliance Powers, lost a great deal of land. At the request of the League of Nations, England and France took control of the Ottoman Turkish territories in the Middle East, including Palestine, Syria, and Iraq. This agreement made it possible for new countries to be established under the rule of European colonial powers, such as Iraq, Transjordan (later known as Jordan), and Palestine. Long-term changes in the Middle East increased the likelihood of regional conflicts and affected the political environment of the 20th century (Naqibzadeh, 2006).

In 1919 Britain's dread of Germany gave way to a fear of Bolshevism, and discussions were held regarding the use of Germany as a counterbalance to communism. Since the working class in all industrialized nations was inspired to start a worldwide revolution by Soviet Russia's revolutionary rhetoric, the rise to power of Bolshevik in Russia has prompted anxiety for Europe (Bagheri, 2013).

Italian opposition to every decision taken at the Versailles conference began early on; they believed that France and England should have reaped the full benefits of the victories in Africa and the Near East. In the long run, the Germans also expected to redraw their borders to the east, retake the Polish Corridor, and incorporate Austria. The Allies prevented Austria and Germany from forming an alliance, despite a vigorous campaign for Austrian unification with Germany beginning in the 1920s (Palmer, 1990). There were populations of pure Germans in Austria.

England and the United States were willing to abandon their post-Versailles political stances in order to get out of Europe's problems, bring about peace again, and start profitable trade again. For their trade, they wanted big, powerful customers like Germany. The French at Rennes also felt duped and deceived into believing that they were protected by Britain and the United States. And once more they lamented their lack of security (Palmer, 1990).

The isolation of Soviet Russia and the political and economic sanctions placed on Germany brought these two countries closer together. The two negotiated a ten-year friendship treaty, with Germany being the first Western country to recognize the new Russian administration. Russia agreed to expand trade and commercial relations in exchange for the waiver of all war reparations. Both countries signed the Treaty of Rapallo in 1922 (Naqibzadeh, 2001). As per the agreement, the German army sent engineers and commanders to train the Red Army and supplied the Soviet Union with supplies. However, it was able to achieve important objectives concerning military operations, personnel training, and the enhancement of its military capability through a number of covert operations conducted inside Germany. Germany was subject to constraints under the Treaty of Versailles, which led the government to fortify its relations with Russia. and the possibility of Germany breaking away from the Western Powers should it fail to come together to oppose Russian communism. But this gave the Bolsheviks the advantage they thought they would have over the capitalists, their rivals (Kanan, 1965). A few years later, the collapse of the Versailles system compelled European leaders to contemplate repairing their relations with Germany. The prospect of lasting peace was improved for Europeans with the conclusion of the Locarno agreements in 1925. In signed treaties, Germany pledged under no circumstances to defend the borders of France and Belgium. Moreover, France promised to leave the Rhineland. Germany was able to join the League of Nations and establish itself as one of the main nations thanks to the Locarno agreements. In the same year, Berlin and Russia established a friendship treaty that shielded Germany from both the East and the West (Bagheri, 2013).

Germany persisted in demanding adjustments to the reparation's situation, and in 1929, with assistance from the Young Plan, German payments were decreased. According to this plan, German reparations are to be paid in 58 years and six months via a special bank in the Swiss market. He also withheld a third of the war reparations at the same time. Germany was unable to make the payment because of the 1929 worldwide economic crisis. The Lausanne Conference in 1932 marked the formal termination of reparations (Giri, 2000).

iii. Impact of Versailles Conference on Asia

The 1919 Versailles Conference had a profound effect on Asia, particularly in the areas of international relations, anticolonial movements, and the reinterpretation of territorial identities and alliances. Let's now look at the fallout from this pivotal moment: Nationalism and Anticolonialism: In the years after World War I, anticolonial feelings grew throughout Asia. Across the continent, the so-called "Wilsonian Moment" brought about revolutionary transformations, especially in China, India, and Korea. In Chinese history, the 1919 May Fourth Movement marked a sea change. Students and intellectuals in China staged protests against the provisions of the Treaty of Versailles, which granted Japan the authority to assume German concessions in the country. This movement fueled nationalist sentiments and aspirations for sovereignty and self-determination. The Indian tragedy at Jallianwala Bagh in 1919 intensified calls for independence. Indians were motivated to return to the freedom struggle by their disgust at the cruelty of British colonialism. (Hakimi, 2006, pp. 233-237).

Since 1910, Japan has dominated Korea as a colony, and in 1919, the March First Movement occurred there. Koreans wanted independence as well as an end to Japanese rule. Despite the harsh reaction from the Japanese authorities, this movement laid the groundwork for future resistance. **Reconfiguration of Territories:** The Versailles Treaty's provisions had an effect on Japan's foreign policy. Japan campaigned for the return of East Asian land that Germany had captured. Despite gaining some ground, its request to have the Racial Equality Proposal added to the treaty was denied. This defeat affected Japan's post-war strategy for dealing with the world. The Warsaw Conference highlighted the critical need for uniformity in several law areas affecting private interests in the context of air transport. (Daftari, 1957, pp. 216-234).

The International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules Relative to International Air Transportation, also known as the Warsaw Convention, established regulations for carriers, passengers, shippers, and insurers in the sphere of air transportation as a result. It had nothing to do with geography, but it was an illustration of global cooperation and regulation.

Solidarity and Identity: During this period, "Asia" took on a new meaning. The revolutionary potential of the current situation stems from the accumulation of material and ideological developments during the war years. Asians believed that global cooperation may alter and improve the flexibility of the current order. Despite their differences, Korea, China, and India have similar experiences. Nation-state borders did not keep the fights for unity, independence, and identity apart. The entire concept of "Asia" was torn apart and then pieced back together by people's aspirations for the future and their common history. (Dolatshahi, 1969, p. 301).

Although not directly touching Afghanistan, the 1919 Warsaw Conference had a significant effect on the country within the broader context of decolonization and international politics. In the spring of 1919, Amanullah Khan, the newly crowned king of Kabul, led a motley army of irregular soldiers and tribal levies against the British Raj's imperial army. It was a jihad that was violent. A treaty recognizing Afghanistan's full

independence was signed by Great Britain in 1919, following Amanullah Khan's victorious invasion army into British India and victory in the Third Anglo-Afghan War (Mesbahzadeh, 2009, pp. 86-93).

Conclusion

The Treaty of Versailles was ratified in 1919, bringing an end to the Great War. This agreement's main goals were to address the effects of the war and bring about peace. It did, however, also have important and lasting effects that would influence historical events in the future. First and foremost, the Treaty of Versailles forced Germany to make up for the losses it had suffered during the war and subject it to severe economic restrictions. Germany faced enormous financial obligations as a result of the reparations, which created economic instability and general social unrest. The economic downturn that followed made it easier for radical ideologies to gain traction, especially Nazism, which in turn led to the outbreak of World War II.

By depriving Germany of its resources, territory, and military might, the Treaty of Versailles severely limited Germany's ability to defend itself. Because their country's military and territory were lost, the German people became more resentful and angrier. As a result, in the years that followed the pact, militarism and militant nationalism increased in intensity. Furthermore, the underlying problems that had originally sparked the First World War were not addressed by the Treaty of Versailles. The region's continuous instability and the genesis of fresh conflicts were caused by the punitive clauses of the treaty and the absence of a comprehensive peace strategy. With hindsight, it is evident that the Treaty of Versailles was an imperfect arrangement that failed to bring about the long-lasting peace that was sought. But it also marked the beginning of the spread of unrest and violence that would later cause more pain and fatalities.

When examining the effects of the Treaty of Versailles, it is important to acknowledge the critical roles that compromise, diplomacy, and vision play in maintaining peace and settling conflicts. The errors committed in 1919 serve as a sobering reminder of the perils of ignorance and the application of punishment without taking into account the long-term effects on all parties concerned. The Treaty of Versailles is a sobering reminder of the value of learning from the past and approaching international relations with understanding, empathy, and a dedication to peacefully resolving difficult circumstances. In order to keep the mistakes of the past from happening again, we must work hard to uphold the principles of cooperation, understanding, and peacemaking as we consider the lessons of history. We must put diplomacy, good communication, and respect for one another first in order to create a just and peaceful world civilization for future generations.

References

- Bagheri, F. (2013). *History of Diplomacy and International Relations*. Tehran: Baath Publications.
- Black, C. E. (1988). *A Short History of Modern Bulgaria*. By R. J. Crampton. Cambridge; London; New York; New Rochelle, N.Y.; Melbourne; and Sydney: Cambridge University Press, 1987. xiii, 221 pp. Maps. Tables. \$34.50, cloth. \$12.95, paper. *Slavic Review*, 47(3), 569-570. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2498439>
- Bozorgmehri, M. (2005). *History of International Relations. (1945-1870)*. Tehran: Organization for Studying and Compiling Humanities Books of Universities.
- Dolatshahi, I. (1969). *History of Europe from the Congress of Vienna to World War II*. Tehran: Daneshsarai Aali.

- Fey, W. (2000) "Consequences of the Versailles Treaty on International Relations." *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, vol. 44, no. 5.
- Giri, D. (2000). *Nazism and Hitler* (A. Shahsa, Trans). Tehran: Khojaste publication.
- Hughes, S. (1982). *Contemporary History of Europe*. (A. A. Bammad. Trans.). Tehran: Amir Kabir Publications.
- Kanan, G. (1965). *Russia and the West in the time of Lenin and Stalin* (A. Taheri, Trans.). Tehran: Iran Mehr Library Publications.
- Littlefield, H. W. (2006). *History of Europe from 1815 Onwards* (F. Q. C. D. Sahhi, trans.). Tehran: Scientific and Cultural Publications,
- Loth, W. (2001). Bonn Conference on Afghanistan. *The International Spectator*. 36(3), 87-93.
- Hakimi, M. (2006). *A look at the contemporary history of the world or the crises of our time*. Tehran: Amir Kabir Publications
- Mango, A. (2000). *Atatürk: The Biography of the Founder of Modern Turkey*. New York: Overlook Press,
- Daftari, M. A. (1957). *International relations from ancient times to the United Nations*. Tehran: Tehran University Press.
- Mesbahzadeh, M. B. (2009). *Brief political history of Afghanistan*. Tehran: Parsian Publishing House.
- Milza, P. (2012). *History of International Relations*, (S H. Raziei, Trans.) Tehran: Amir Kabir Publishing House.
- Moradi, J. (1989). *A Look after the Treaty of Versailles and its Consequence*. Tehran: Ministry of Culture.
- Naqibzadeh, A. (2001). *Developments in international relations (from the Congress of Vienna to today)*. Tehran: Qoms Publishing,
- Naqibzadeh, A. (2006). *History of Diplomacy and International Relations*. Tehran: Qoms Publishing.
- Olomi, R. (1970). *History of European diplomacy*. Tehran: Iqbal publication.
- Palmer, R. R. (1990). *New World History*. (A. Taheri, Trans) Tehran: Amir Kabir Publications.
- Perra, E. (2019) *The Treaty of Neuilly and the Legacy of World War I for Southeastern Europe - In the Greater War*, Palgrave, Macmillan, Cham, 267-291.
- Perrin, J. (1978). *The great contemporary currents*. (R. Meshaikhi, Trans.) Tehran: Amir Kabir Publication.
- Potemkin, V. (1953). *History of diplomacy*. Tehran: Iran Library
- Qaisher, H. (1985). *History of Europe*. (V. Mazandarani, Trans) Tehran: University of Tehran.
- Sadeghi, Ali. (1997). *Ideology and International Relations*. Tehran: Qoms Publishing.
- Shaw, S. J., & Shaw. E. K. (1977). *History of the Ottoman Empire and Modern Turkey*. Vol. 2: Reform, Revolution, and Republic: The Rise of Modern Turkey. 1808-1975. Cambridge University Press.
- Shirer, W. (1988). *The Rise and Fall of the Third Reich* (K. Dehgan, Trans) Tehran: Sales Edition.
- Sugar, P. F. (2017). *A Reflection on the Treaty of Trianon*. *Cheslitha International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*. Vol. 6.
- Ziai, B., (2006). *Public International Law*. Tehran: Ganj Danesh Publishing House.